

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignnia 22313-1450 www.mpto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/870,804	05/31/2001	Robert Kersch	4100-262	1276
75	90 06/16/2003			
Thomas C. Pontani, Esq. Cohen, Pontani, Lieberman & Pavane Suite 1210			EXAMINER	
			COLILLA, DANIEL JAMES	
551 Fifth Avenue New York, NY 10176			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
New Tork, INT	10170		2854	
			DATE MAILED: 06/16/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			_ ^/
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/870,804	KERSCH, ROBERT	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Dan Colilla	2854	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communicatio D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	n.
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 A</u>	April 2003 .		
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ Th	is action is non-final.		
Since this application is in condition for allowed in accordance with the practice under the practice of Claims.			is
Disposition of Claims 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7 and 9-17</u> is/are pending in the ap	nlication		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	vii iroiti consideration.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7 and 9-17</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.		
Application Papers	(		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>31 May 2001</u> is/are: a)∑	accepted or b) objected to by the	ne Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	- , ,	` '	
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disappro	oved by the Examiner.	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	•		
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		•	
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	)-(d) or (f).	
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents			
2. Certified copies of the priority documents			
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International But</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li> </ul>	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	_	
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	·		ion).
a) The translation of the foreign language pro 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	visional application has been rec	eived.	,
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)	
Patent and Trademark Office			

Art Unit: 2854

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. The indicated allowability of claim 5 is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference(s) to Goetz et al. and Perretta. Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) follow.

### **Specification**

2. The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required: "jerking stop" as used in claims 1 and 7.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-3, 5, 7, 11 and 13-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Goetz et al. in view of Perretta.

With respect to claims 1 and 7, Goetz et al. discloses an apparatus and method for printing but does not teach a method of preventing machine damage. Goetz et al. discloses a printing machine including a plurality of cylinders D1-D4 which roll upon each other and are each attached to a motor F,G. The cylinders D1-D4 must be synchronized to drive at the same speed in order for the printing machine to operate properly. Additionally, Goetz et al. discloses

Art Unit: 2854

that high control dynamics for precise and constant control are provided (Goetz et al., last line of first English abstract), thus a controller is inherent in the system. Perretta teaches an apparatus and method of preventing machine damage which includes the steps of detecting a web break with detectors 44 and 46 (Perretta, col. 4, lines 44-52) and braking the motors F,G to standstill upon detection of a web break (Perretta, col. 4, lines 52-60). It would have been obvious to combine the teaching of Perretta with the printing method disclosed by Goetz et al. for the advantage of preventing machine damage upon early detection of a web break.

With respect to claims 2-3, 14-15 and 17, while Perretta does not teach an exact amount of revolutions a motor turns before stopping, it is noted that Perretta does teach stopping the motors of the printing machine to thereby limit the wrap of a web around a cylinder to about half the circumference of the cylinder (Perretta, col. 2, lines 12-17). One of ordinary skill in the art would recognize the need to stop the motors F,G as quickly as possible. The optimal number of revolutions of the drive motors could have readily been determined by one of ordinary skill in the art through routine experimentation.

With respect to claim 5, Figure 6 of Perretta teaches that the cylinders remain in a printon position when the web breaks and the motors are braking.

With respect to claim 11, Goetz et al. discloses a controller for stopping the motors as mentioned above.

With respect to claims 13 and 16, one of ordinary skill in the art would realize that in order to stop the printing machine all the motors should be stopped in order to avoid damage of the machine.

Art Unit: 2854

5. Claims 4, 6, 10 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Goetz et al. in view of Perretta as applied to claims 1-3, 5, 7, 11 and 13-17 above, and further in view of Hammond et al.

With respect to claims 4 and 12, Goetz et al. in view of Perretta disclose the method of preventing machine damage except for the step of braking the motors by reversing the torque of the motors. Hammond et al. teaches simultaneously applying braking torque by providing two different frequencies to the motor, when braking is desired, resulting in motor/load inertia being dissipated in the motor itself by using its own energy to brake the motor. It would have been obvious to combine the braking control taught by Perretta with the method of applying reverse braking torque taught by Hammond et al. for the advantage of high torque braking without the use of costly semiconductor devices or the need for braking resistors (Hammond et al., col. 2, lines 25-38).

With respect to claims 6 and 10, the motor taught by Hammond et al. is an induction motor (Hammond et al., col. 5, lines 17-25).

6. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Goetz et al. in view of Perretta as applied to claims 1-3, 5, 7, 11 and 13-17 above, and further in view of Marozzi et al.

Goetz et al. in view of Perretta discloses the claimed apparatus except for the built-up hollow cylinder. However, Marozzi et al. teaches a print cylinder that is hollow in many areas. It would have been obvious to combine the teaching of Marozzi et al. with the apparatus

Application/Control Number: 09/870,804 Page 5

Art Unit: 2854

disclosed by Goetz et al. in view of Perretta for the advantage of a lower weight cylinder which would require less power to drive.

## Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

This rejection has been made non-final because prior art had not previously been applied to claim 5.

With respect to applicant's term, "jerking stop." As mentioned above this term is not used in the specification and therefore does not appear to have any clear meaning other than, "quickly stopped."

- 8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Aldrich, Jr. and Briggs are cited to show other examples of printing machines that stop quickly upon detection of a web break.
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dan Colilla whose telephone number is (703) 308-2259. The examiner can normally be reached M-F, 8:30-5:30. Faxes regarding this application can be sent to (703) 746-4405.

Art Unit: 2854

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew Hirshfeld can be reached at (703)305-6619. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Dan Colilla

Primary Examiner Art Unit 2854

June 11, 2003

Page 6